

Panaji, 11th May, 2000 (Vaisakha 21, 1922)

SERIES II No. 6

OFFICIAL GAZETTE



GOVERNMENT OF GOA

Note: There are Two Extraordinary issues to the Official Gazette, Series II No. 5 dated 4-5-2000 as follows:

- 1) Extraordinary dated 4-5-2000 from pages 183 to 188 regarding Notification from Department of Elections.
- 2) Extraordinary No. 2 dated 10-5-2000 from pages 189 to 190 regarding Notification from Department of Home (Foreigners and Citizenship Division).

GOVERNMENT OF GOA

Department of Co-operation

Office of the Registrar of Coop. Societies

Order

No. 1-3-71/EST/RCS/ (Part)

- Read:- 1) Letter No. COM/II/11(1) /90 dated 24-11-1999 from the Goa Public Service Commission, Panaji.
- 2) The Government Order No. 42/4/99/TS/RSC dated 4-4-2000 creating two posts of Asstt. Registrar of Coop. Societies, Headquarters, Panaji.

On the recommendation of the Goa Public Service Commission Panaji vide their letter referred to at (1) above the Government is pleased to promote the following officers holding the posts of Cooperative Officers/Special Auditors on the establishment of the Registrar of Coop. Societies, Panaji to the posts of Asstt. Registrar of Coop. Societies, Group 'B' Gazetted in the pay scale of Rs. 6500-200-10500 on regular basis and posted to the posts shown against their names as follows:

Sr. No.	Name and the designation of the Officer	Name of the post to which promoted and posted
1	2	3

- | | |
|--|--|
| 1. Shri S. S. Volvoikar,
Asstt. Registrar of Coop.
Societies on ad-hoc
basis. | The Chief Executive
Officer, Goa Rajya
Sahakari Sangh
Maryadit, Panaji
(On deputation) |
|--|--|

1	2	3
2. Shri A. V. C. Molienkar, Special Auditor/Coop. Officer, Headquarters, Panaji.	Asstt., Registrar of Coop. Societies (Head- quarters), II, Panaji for recovery work of Urban Coop. Banks.	
3. Shri A. V. Kamat, . Coop. Officer/Special Auditor, Headquarters Panaji.	Asstt. Registrar of Coop. Societies (Audit), Head- quarters, Panaji.	
4. Shri R. R. Phal, Coop. Officer/Special Auditor, Headquarters, Panaji.	Asstt. Registrar of Coop. Societies (Head- quarters)-I, Headquarters, Panaji.	

Shri Volvoikar, who is presently on deputation to the post of Chief Executive Officer to the Goa Rajya Sahakari Sangh Maryadit, Panaji, shall continue on deputation to the said post.

The above promotees may exercise their option for fixation of their pay, if they so desire, within one month from the date of receipt of this order.

The pay and allowances of Shri A. V. C. Molienkar and Shri R. R. Phal shall be debited to the Budget Head "2425-Cooperation, 001-Direction and Administration, 02-Superintendence (Non-Plan), 01-Salaries".

The pay and allowances of Shri A. V. Kamat, shall be debited to the Budget Head "2425-Cooperation, 101-Audit of Cooperatives, 01-Audit (Non-Plan), 01-Salaries".

The above promotees shall be on probation for a period of two years.

This order is issued in the public interest and shall come into force with immediate effect.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

D. C. Sahoo, Registrar of Coop. Societies and
Ex-Officio Joint Secretary.

Panaji, 28th April, 2000.

Notification

No. 5-808-2000/ARSZ/HSG

In exercise of the powers vested in me under Section 9 of the Maharashtra Cooperative Societies Act, 1960 as applied to the State of Goa, Nilayam Apartments Cooperative Housing Society Ltd., Vaddem, Vasco-da-Gama, Goa, is registered under code symbol No. HSG-(b)-326/South Goa/2000.

Sd/- (Fernando Bonamis), Asstt. Registrar of Coop. Societies (South Zone).

Margao, 30th March, 2000.

Certificate of Registration

Nilayam Apartments Cooperative Housing Society Ltd., Vaddem, Vasco-da-Gama, Goa has been registered on 30-3-2000 and it bears registration code symbol No. HSG-(b)-326/South Goa/2000 and it is classified as "Housing Society" under sub-classification No. 5-(b)-Tenant Co-partnership Housing Society in terms of Rule 9 of the Cooperative Societies Rules, 1962, for the State of Goa.

Sd/- (Fernando Bonamis), Asstt. Registrar of Coop. Societies (South Zone).

Margao, 30th March, 2000.

Notification

No. 5-805-2000/ARSZ/HSG

In exercise of the powers vested in me under Section 9 of the Maharashtra Cooperative Societies Act, 1960 as applied to the State of Goa, Depot Citizens Cooperative Housing Society Ltd., Maxem, Canacona-Goa, is registered under code symbol No. HSG-(a)-323/South Goa/2000.

Sd/- (Fernando Bonamis), Asstt. Registrar of Coop. Societies (South Zone).

Margao, 24th March, 2000.

Certificate of Registration

Depot Citizens Cooperative Housing Society Ltd., Maxem, Canacona-Goa, has been registered on 24-3-2000 and it bears registration code symbol No. HSG-(a)-323/South Goa/2000 and it is classified as "Housing Society" under sub-classification No. 5-(a)-Tenant Ownership

Housing Society in terms of Rule 9 of the Cooperative Societies Rules, 1962 for the State of Goa.

Sd/- (Fernando Bonamis), Asstt. Registrar of Coop. Societies (South Zone).

Margao, 24th March, 2000.

Notification

No. 5-807-2000/ARSZ/HSG

In exercise of the powers vested in me under Section 9 of the Maharashtra Cooperative Societies Act, 1960 as applied to the State of Goa, Anusaya Apartments Cooperative Housing Society Ltd., Borda, Margao-Goa, is registered under code symbol No. HSG-(b)-325/South Goa/2000.

Sd/- (Fernando Bonamis), Asstt. Registrar of Coop. Societies (South Zone).

Margao, 30th March, 2000.

Certificate of Registration

Anusaya Apartments Cooperative Housing Society Ltd., Borda, Margao-Goa, has been registered on 30-3-2000 and it bears registration code symbol No. HSG-(b)-325/South Goa/2000 and it is classified as "Housing Society" under sub-classification No. 5-(b)-Tenant Co-partnership Housing Society in terms of Rule 9 of the Cooperative Societies Rules, 1962 for the State of Goa.

Sd/- (Fernando Bonamis), Asstt. Registrar of Coop. Societies (South Zone).

Margao, 30th March, 2000.

Notification

No. 5-809-2000/ARSZ/HSG

In exercise of the powers vested in me under Section 9 of the Maharashtra Cooperative Societies Act, 1960 as applied to the State of Goa, Hema Apartments Cooperative Housing Society Ltd., Borda, Margao-Goa is registered under code symbol No. HSG-(b)-327/South Goa/2000.

Sd/- (Fernando Bonamis), Asstt. Registrar of Coop. Societies (South Zone).

Margao, 4th April, 2000.

Certificate of Registration

Hema Apartments Cooperative Housing Society Ltd., Borda, Margao-Goa has been registered on 4-4-2000 and

it bears registration code symbol No. HSG-(b)-327/South Goa/2000 and it is classified as "Housing Society" under sub-classification No. 5-(b)-Tenant Co-partnership Housing Society in terms of Rule 9 of the Cooperative Societies Rules, 1962 for the State of Goa.

Sd/- (Fernando Bonamis), Asstt. Registrar of Coop. Societies (South Zone).

Margao, 4th April, 2000.

Notification

In exercise of the powers vested in me under section 9 (1) of the Maharashtra Co-operative Societies Act, 1960 as applied to the State of Goa Birondem Industrial Cooperative Society Ltd., Satari-Goa has been registered under code symbol No. Pro-(a)-4/NZ/Goa.

Sd/- (V. R. Ghaisas), Asstt. Registrar of Coop. Societies (North Zone).

Mapusa, 17th April, 2000.

Certificate of Registration

Birondem Industrial Cooperative Society Ltd., Satari-Goa has been registered on 17-4-2000 and it bears registration code symbol No. Pro-(a) 4/NZ/Goa and it is classified as "Processing Society" under sub-classification No. 6 (a) "Agricultural Processing Society", in terms of Rule 9 of the Cooperative Societies Rules, 1962 for the State of Goa.

Sd/- (V. R. Ghaisas), Asstt. Registrar of Coop. Societies, (North Zone).

Mapusa, 17th April, 2000.

Notification

In exercise of the powers vested in me under section 9 (1) of the Maharashtra Co-operative Societies Act, 1960 as applied to the State of Goa Shree Shantadurga Urban Cooperative Credit Society Ltd., Sankhali-Goa has been registered under code symbol No. RES-(a)-39/NZ/Goa.

Sd/- (V. R. Ghaisas), Asstt. Registrar of Coop. Societies (North Zone).

Mapusa, 9th February, 2000.

Certificate of Registration

Shree Shantadurga Urban Cooperative Credit Society Ltd., Sankhali-Goa has been registered on 9-2-2000 and it bears registration code symbol No. Res-(a) 39/NZ/Goa and it is classified as "Resource

Society" under sub-classification No. 8 (a) "Credit Resource Society", in terms of Rule 9 of the Cooperative Societies Rules, 1962 for the State of Goa.

Sd/- (V. R. Ghaisas), Asstt. Registrar of Coop. Societies (North Zone).

Mapusa, 9th February, 2000.

Notification

In exercise of the powers vested in me under section 9 (1) of the Maharashtra Co-operative Societies Act, 1960 as applied to the State of Goa Dudh Utpadak Nagari Sahakari Pat Sauntha Maryadit, Kasarpal, Bicholim-Goa has been registered under code symbol No. RES-(a)-36/NZ/Goa.

Sd/- (V. R. Ghaisas), Asstt. Registrar of Coop. Societies (North Zone).

Mapusa, 29th December, 1999.

Certificate of Registration

Dudh Utpadak Nagari Sahakari Pat Sauntha Maryadit, Kasarpal, Bicholim-Goa has been registered on 29-12-1999 and it bears registration code symbol No. RES-(a) 36/NZ/Goa and it is classified as "Resource Society" under sub-classification No. 8 (a) "Credit Resource Society", in terms of Rule 9 of the Cooperative Societies Rules, 1962 for the State of Goa.

Sd/- (V. R. Ghaisas), Asstt. Registrar of Coop. Societies, (North Zone).

Mapusa, 29th December, 1999.

Notification

In exercise of the powers vested in me under section 9 (1) of the Maharashtra Co-operative Societies Act, 1960 as applied to the State of Goa Kamat Palace Cooperative Housing Society Ltd., Mapusa-Goa has been registered under code symbol No. HSG-(b)-184/NZ/Goa.

Sd/- (V. R. Ghaisas), Asstt. Registrar of Coop. Societies (North Zone).

Mapusa, 6th April, 2000.

Certificate of Registration

Kamat Palace Cooperative Housing Society Ltd., Mapusa-Goa has been registered on 6-4-2000 and it bears registration code symbol No. HSG-(b)-184/NZ/Goa and it is classified as "Housing Society" under sub-classification No. 5 (b) "Tenant Co-partnership Housing Society" in

terms of Rule 9 of the Cooperative Societies Rules, 1962 for the State of Goa.

Sd/- (V. R. Ghaisas), Asstt. Registrar of Coop. Societies, (North Zone).

Mapusa, 6th April, 2000.

Notification

In exercise of the powers vested in me under section 9 (1) of the Maharashtra Co-operative Societies Act, 1960 as applied to the State of Goa Anjuncar Apartment Cooperative Housing Society Ltd., Mapusa has been registered under code symbol No. HSG-(b)-183/NZ/Goa.

Sd/- (V. R. Ghaisas), Asstt. Registrar of Coop. Societies (North Zone).

Mapusa, 7th March, 2000.

Certificate of Registration

Anjuncar Apartment Cooperative Housing Society Ltd., Mapusa has been registered on 7-3-2000 and it bears registration code symbol No. HSG-(b)-183/NZ/Goa and it is classified as "Housing Society" under sub-classification No. 5 (b) "Tenant Co-partnership Housing Society" in terms of Rule 9 of the Cooperative Societies Rules, 1962 for the State of Goa.

Sd/- (V. R. Ghaisas), Asstt. Registrar of Coop. Societies, (North Zone).

Mapusa, 7th March, 2000.

Notification

In exercise of the powers vested in me under section 9 (1) of the Maharashtra Cooperative Societies Act, 1960 as applied to the State of Goa "Zodiac Park" Cooperative Housing Society Ltd., Alto-Porvorim, Bardez-Goa has been registered under code symbol No. HSG-(b)-182/NZ/Goa.

Sd/- (V. R. Ghaisas), Asstt. Registrar of Coop. Societies (North Zone).

Mapusa, 25th February, 2000.

Certificate of Registration

"Zodiac Park" Cooperative Housing Society Ltd., Alto-Porvorim, Bardez-Goa has been registered on 25-2-2000 and it bears registration code symbol No. HSG-(b)-182/NZ/Goa and it is classified as "Housing Society" under sub-classification No. 5 (b) "Tenant Co-partnership

Housing Society" in terms of Rule 9 of the Cooperative Societies Rules, 1962 for the State of Goa.

Sd/- (V. R. Ghaisas), Asstt. Registrar of Coop. Societies, (North Zone).

Mapusa, 25th February, 2000.

Notification

No. ARCS/CZ/HSG/474/ADM/Goa

In exercise of the powers vested in me under Section 9 (1) of the Maharashtra Coop. Societies Act, 1960 as applied to the State of Goa, Sundar Niwara Coop. Housing Society Ltd., Tisk-Ponda-Goa has been registered under code symbol No. ARCS/CZ/HSG/450-(b)/Goa.

Sd/- (C. D. Gawade), Asstt. Registrar of Coop. Societies, (Central Zone).

Panaji, 16th March, 2000.

Certificate of Registration

Sundar Niwara Coop. Housing Society Ltd., Tisk-Ponda-Goa is registered on 16-3-2000 and it bears registration No. ARCS/CZ/HSG/450-(b)/Goa and it is classified as "Housing Society" under Sub-Classification No. 5 (b) "Tenant Co-partnership Housing Society" in terms of Rule 9 of the Coop. Societies Rules, 1962 for the State of Goa.

Sd/- (C. D. Gawade), Asstt. Registrar of Coop. Societies, (Central Zone).

Panaji, 16th March, 2000.

Notification

No. ARCS/CZ/Credit/136/ADM/2000

In exercise of the powers vested in me under Section 9 (1) of the Maharashtra Coop. Societies Act, 1960 as applied to the State of Goa, Dr. K. B. Hedgewar School Staff Co-operative Credit Society Ltd., Panaji-Goa has been registered under code symbol No. ARCS/CZ/credit/8-(a)/122/Goa.

Sd/- (M. T. Verlekar), Asstt. Registrar of Coop. Societies (Central Zone).

Panaji, 31st March, 2000.

Certificate of Registration

Dr. K. B. Hedgewar School Staff Co-operative Credit Society Ltd., Panaji-Goa is registered on 31-3-2000 and

it bears registration No. ARCS/CZ/Credit/8-(a)/122/Goa and it is Classified as "Resource Society" under Sub-Classification No. 8 (a) "Credit Resource Society" in terms of Rule 9 of the Coop. Societies Rules, 1962 for the State of Goa.

Sd/- (M. T. Verlekar), Asstt. Registrar of Coop. Societies (Central Zone).

Panaji, 31st March, 2000.

Notification

No. ARCS/CZ/HSG/483/ADM/2000

In exercise of the powers vested in me under Section 9 (1) of the Maharashtra Coop. Societies Act, 1960 as applied to the State of Goa, Champs D'Or Co-operative Housing Society Ltd., Satissmo, Taleigao, Goa has been registered under code symbol No. ARCS/CZ/HSG/449-(b)/Goa.

Sd/- (M. T. Verlekar), Asstt. Registrar of Coop. Societies (Central Zone).

Panaji, 31st March, 2000.

Certificate of Registration

Champs D'Or Co-operative Housing Society Ltd., Satissmo, Taleigao Goa, is registered on 31-3-2000 and it bears registration No. ARCS/CZ/HSG/449-(b)/Goa and it is Classified as "Housing Society" under Sub-Classification No. 5 (b) "Tenant Co-Partnership Housing Society" in terms of Rule 9 of the Coop. Societies Rules, 1962 for the State of Goa.

Sd/- (M. T. Verlekar), Asstt. Registrar of Coop. Societies (Central Zone).

Panaji, 31st March, 2000.

Notification

No. ARCS/CZ/HSG/486/ADM/Goa

In exercise of the powers vested in me under Section 9 (1) of the Maharashtra Coop. Societies Act, 1960 as applied to the State of Goa, Vivenda Monte Furtado Co-operative Housing Society Ltd., St. Mary's Colony, Miramar, Panaji-Goa has been registered under code symbol No. ARCS/CZ/HSG/454-(b)/Goa.

Sd/- (M. T. Verlekar), Asstt. Registrar of Coop. Societies (Central Zone).

Panaji, 31st March, 2000.

Certificate of Registration

Vivenda Monte Furtado Co-operative Housing Society Ltd., St. Mary's Colony, Miramar, Panaji-Goa is registered on 31-3-2000 and it bears registration No. ARCS/CZ/HSG/454-(b)/Goa and it is Classified as "Housing Society" under Sub-Classification No. 5 (b) "Tenant Co-Partnership Housing Society" in terms of Rule 9 of the Coop. Societies Rules, 1962 for the State of Goa.

Sd/- (M. T. Verlekar), Asstt. Registrar of Coop. Societies (Central Zone).

Panaji, 31st March, 2000.

Notification

No. ARCS/CZ/HSG/459/ADM/99

In exercise of the powers vested in me under Section 9 (1) of the Maharashtra Coop. Societies Act, 1960 as applied to the State of Goa, St. Peter's Residency Co-operative Housing Society Ltd., Mitra Bazar Caranzalem, Tiswadi, Goa has been registered under code symbol No. ARCS/CZ/HSG/454-(b)/Goa.

Sd/- (M. T. Verlekar), Asstt. Registrar of Coop. Societies (Central Zone).

Panaji, 30th March, 2000.

Certificate of Registration

St. Peter's Residency Co-operative Housing Society Ltd., Mitra Bazar Caranzalem, Tiswadi, Goa is registered on 30-3-2000 and it bears registration No. ARCS/CZ/HSG/452-(b)/Goa and it is classified as "Housing Society" under Sub-Classification No. 5 (b) "Tenant Co-Partnership Housing Society" in terms of Rule 9 of the Cooperative Societies Rules, 1962 for the State of Goa.

Sd/- (M. T. Verlekar), Asstt. Registrar of Coop. Societies (Central Zone).

Panaji, 30th March, 2000.

Notification

No. ARCS/CZ/HSG/484/ADM/2000

In exercise of the powers vested in me under Section 9 (1) of the Maharashtra Coop. Societies Act, 1960 as applied to the State of Goa, Excel Residency Co-operative Housing Society Ltd., Caranzalem, Panaji-Goa has been registered under code symbol No. ARCS/CZ/HSG/453-(b)/Goa.

Sd/- (M. T. Verlekar), Asstt. Registrar of Coop. Societies (Central Zone).

Panaji, 29th March, 2000.

Certificate of Registration

Excel Residency Co-operative Housing Society Ltd., Caranzalem, Panaji-Goa is registered on 29-3-2000 and it bears registration No. ARCS/CZ/HSG/453-(b)/Goa and it is Classified as "Housing Society" under Sub-Classification No. 5 (b) "Tenant Co-Partnership Housing Society" in terms of Rule 9 of the Cooperative Societies Rules, 1962 for the State of Goa.

Sd/- (C. D. Gawade), Asstt. Registrar of Coop. Societies (Central Zone).

Panaji, 29th March, 2000.

Notification

No. ARCS/CZ/HSG/451/ADM/99

In exercise of the powers vested in me under Section 9 (1) of the Maharashtra Coop. Societies Act, 1960 as applied to the State of Goa, The Fernando Puram Co-operative Housing Society Ltd., St. Cruz, Tiswadi, Goa has been registered under code symbol No. ARCS/CZ/HSG/451-(b)/Goa.

Sd/- (M. T. Verlekar), Asstt. Registrar of Coop. Societies (Central Zone).

Panaji, 27th March, 2000.

Certificate of Registration

The Fernando Puram Co-operative Housing Society Ltd., St. Cruz, Tiswadi, Goa is registered on 27-3-2000 and it bears registration No. ARCS/CZ/HSG/451-(b)/Goa and it is classified as "Housing Society" under Sub-Classification No. 5 (b) "Tenant Co-Partnership Housing Society" in terms of Rule 9 of the Cooperative Societies Rules, 1962 for the State of Goa.

Sd/- (M. T. Verlekar), Asstt. Registrar of Coop. Societies (Central Zone).

Panaji, 27th March, 2000.

Notification

No. ARCS/CZ/HSG/496/ADM/99

In exercise of the powers vested in me under Section 9 (1) of the Maharashtra Coop. Societies Act, 1960 as applied to the State of Goa, Cepilumar Shambhavi Coop. Housing Society Ltd., Dr. Dada Vaidya Road, Panaji-Goa has been registered under code symbol No. ARCS/CZ/HSG/447-(b)/Goa.

Sd/- (C. D. Gawade), Asstt. Registrar of Coop. Societies (Central Zone).

Panaji, 10th March, 2000.

Certificate of Registration

Cepilumar Shambhavi Coop. Housing Society Ltd., Dr. Dada Vaidya Road, Panaji-Goa is registered on 10-3-2000 and it bears registration No. ARCS/CZ/HSG/447-(b)/Goa and it is classified as "Housing Society" under Sub-Classification No. 5 (b) "Tenant Co-Partnership Housing Society" in terms of Rule 9 of the Cooperative Societies Rules, 1962 for the State of Goa.

Sd/- (C. D. Gawade), Asstt. Registrar of Coop. Societies (Central Zone).

Panaji, 10th March, 2000.

Department of Education, Art & Culture

Directorate of Technical Education

Order

No. 17/4/82/2000/DTE/1078

Read:- Memorandum No. 17/4/82/2000/DTE/746 dated 23rd March, 2000.

On recommendations of the Goa Public Service Commission conveyed vide their letter No. COM/1/5/34 (2)/99 dated 3rd August, 1999, Government is pleased to appoint Miss Sonali Shripad Joshi, on temporary basis to the post of Lecturer in Industrial Electronics (Group 'A' gazetted) in Government Polytechnic, Panaji, on an initial pay of Rs. 8000/- in the pay scale of Rs. 8000-275-13500/- with effect from the date of joining the post as per the terms and conditions contained in the Memorandum cited above. Miss Joshi is appointed in the vacant place of Shri Hassanali G. Virani, Lecturer in Industrial Electronics consequent upon his resignation.

Miss Sonali S. Joshi will be on probation for a period of two years.

The appointment is further subject to the verification of character and antecedent.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Dr. S. N. Lall, Director of Technical Education, Porvorim-Goa and Additional Secretary.

Panaji, 28th April, 2000.

Order

No. 17/4/81/2000/DTE/1099

Read:- Memorandum No. 17/4/81/2000/DTE/744 dated 23rd March, 2000.

On recommendations of the Goa Public Service Commission conveyed vide their letter No. COM/1/5/34/(3)/99 dated 2nd August, 1999, Government is pleased

to appoint Shri Allvin Bismark Facho, on temporary basis to the post of Lecturer in fabrication (Applied Mechanics) (Group 'A' gazetted) in Government Polytechnic, Panaji, on an initial pay of Rs. 8000/- in the pay scale of Rs. 8000-275-13500/- with effect from the date of joining the post as per the terms and conditions contained in the Memorandum cited above. Shri Facho is appointed in the vacant place of Smt. Sandhya Belgaonkar, Lecturer in Applied Mechanics consequent upon her selection for the post of H.O.D. (Applied Mechanics).

Shri Allvin B. Facho will be on probation for a period of two years.

The appointment is further subject to the verification of character and antecedent.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Dr. S. N. Lall, Director of Technical Education,
Porvorim-Goa and Additional Secretary.

Panaji, 2nd May, 2000.

Department of Food & Civil Supplies

Order

No. 11/14-7/87-CSD/Vol. III

The Government of Goa is pleased to appoint Smt. Sandra Carmen Agustilia Vaz e Correia as a Women Member of the Consumer Disputes Redressal Commission (hereinafter called as the 'State Commission') on part time-basis with immediate effect under the provisions of section 16(1) (b) of the Consumer Protection Act, 1986 (Central Act No. 68 of 1986) on the following terms and conditions.

1. Women Member of the State Commission shall hold office for a term of five years or upto the age of 67 years whichever is earlier and shall not be eligible for reappointment.
2. Women Member of the State Commission shall be eligible for travelling allowance, other allowance and daily allowances on official tours as are admissible to Grade I Officers of the Government.
3. Women Member of the State Commission shall receive a consolidated honorarium of Rs. 300/- per day for the sitting.
4. Women Member of the State Commission shall receive the conveyance charges of Rs. 75/- per day for the sitting.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

George Kuruvilla, Director of Civil Supplies and Price Control and Ex-Officio Joint Secretary.

Panaji, 2nd May, 2000.

Order

No. 11/14-7/87-CSD/Vol. III

The Government of Goa is pleased to appoint Shri Paresh Ulhas Gaitonde as a Member of the Consumer Disputes Redressal Forum, North Goa, Porvorim-Goa on part-time basis with immediate effect under the provisions of section 16 (1) (b) of the Consumer Protection Act, 1986 (Central Act No. 68 of 1986) on the following terms and conditions:

1. Member of the Consumer Disputes Redressal Forum for the North Goa District shall hold office for a term of five years or upto the age of 65 years whichever is earlier and shall not be eligible for reappointment.
2. Member of the Consumer Disputes Redressal Forum for North Goa District shall be eligible for travelling allowance, other allowance and daily allowances on official tours as are admissible to Grade I Officer of the Government.
3. Member of the Consumer Disputes Redressal Forum for North Goa shall receive a consolidated honorarium of Rs. 200/- per day for the sitting.
4. Member of the Consumer Disputes Redressal Forum for North Goa shall receive the convenience charges of Rs. 75/- per day for the sitting.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

George Kuruvilla, Director of Civil Supplies and Price Control and Ex-Officio Joint Secretary.

Panaji, 2nd May, 2000.

Department of Labour

Order

No. IRM/CON/(92-2A)/99/2424

Whereas the Government of Goa is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the management of M/s. Kores (India) Limited, Carbon Unit, Verna-Goa, and their five workmen, represented by the Goa Mazdoor Union, Vasco-da-Gama, Goa, in respect of the matter specified in the schedule annexed hereto (hereinafter referred to as the 'said dispute');

And whereas the Government of Goa considers it expedient to refer the said dispute for adjudication.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947) (hereinafter

referred to as the 'said Act'), the Government of Goa hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal of Goa, at Panaji-Goa, constituted under section 7-A of the said Act.

SCHEDULE

"(1) Whether the action of the management of M/s. Kores (India) Ltd., (Carbon Unit), Verna-Goa, in terminating the services of the following workmen with effect from 18-1-2000, is legal and justified?

- (1) Ms. Rosaria Vaz, Packer
- (2) Ms. Isabella Gonsalves, Packer
- (3) Ms. Maria Rodrigues, Packer
- (4) Ms. Savita Redkar, Packer
- (5) Ms. Veronica Afonso, Packer.

(2) If not, to what relief the above five workmen are entitled ?"

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

R. S. Mardolker, Commissioner, Labour and Ex-Officio
Joint Secretary.

Panaji, 4th May, 2000.

Order

No. IRM/CON/(92-4A)/99/2422

Whereas the Government of Goa is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the management of M/s. Kores (India) Limited, Carbon Unit, Verna-Goa, and their four workmen, represented by the Goa Mazdoor Union, Vasco-da-Gama, Goa, in respect of the matter specified in the schedule annexed hereto (hereinafter referred to as the 'said dispute');

And whereas the Government of Goa considers it expedient to refer the said dispute for adjudication.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947) (hereinafter referred to as the 'said Act'), the Government of Goa hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal of Goa, at Panaji-Goa, constituted under section 7-A of the said Act.

SCHEDULE

"(1) Whether the action of the management of M/s. Kores (India) Ltd., (Carbon Unit), Verna-Goa, in dismissing the following workmen from the services with effect from 3-2-2000, is legal and justified?

- (1) Nilesh Naik, Cutter
- (2) Piedade Dias, Trainee

- (3) Anand Haldankar, Unwinder
- (4) Paresh Sawant, INK Mixer Operator

(2) If not, to what relief the above four workmen are entitled ?"

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

R. S. Mardolker, Commissioner, Labour and Ex-Officio
Joint Secretary.

Panaji, 4th May, 2000.

Order

No. IRM/CON/(92-3A)/99/2423

Whereas the Government of Goa is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the management of M/s. Kores (India) Limited, Carbon Unit, Verna-Goa, and their three workmen, represented by the Goa Mazdoor Union, Vasco-da-Gama, Goa, in respect of the matter specified in the schedule annexed hereto (hereinafter referred to as the 'said dispute');

And whereas the Government of Goa considers it expedient to refer the said dispute for adjudication.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947) (hereinafter referred to as the 'said Act'), the Government of Goa hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal of Goa, at Panaji-Goa, constituted under section 7-A of the said Act.

SCHEDULE

"(1) Whether the action of the management of M/s. Kores (India) Ltd., (Carbon Unit), Verna-Goa, in dismissing the following workmen from services with effect from 14-12-1999, is legal and justified?

- (1) Shri Vinayak Pednekar, Operator
- (2) Shri Maheshwar Pednekar, Operator
- (3) Shri Satish Naik, Trainee Fitter

(2) If not, to what relief the above three workmen are entitled ?"

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

R. S. Mardolker, Commissioner, Labour and Ex-Officio
Joint Secretary.

Panaji, 4th May, 2000.

Order

No. IRM/CON/(92-1A)/99/2421

Whereas the Government of Goa is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the management of M/s. Kores (India) Limited, Carbon Unit, Verna-Goa, and their five workmen, represented by the Goa Mazdoor Union, Vasco-da-Gama, Goa, in respect of the matter specified in the schedule annexed hereto (hereinafter referred to as the 'said dispute');

And whereas the Government of Goa considers it expedient to refer the said dispute for adjudication.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947) (hereinafter referred to as the 'said Act'), the Government of Goa hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal of Goa, at Panaji-Goa, constituted under section 7-A of the said Act.

SCHEDULE

"(1) Whether the action of the management of M/s. Kores (India) Ltd., (Carbon Unit), Verna-Goa, in terminating the services with effect from 19-1-2000 of the following workmen is legal and justified?

- | | |
|----------------------------|---------|
| (1) Ms. Meena Naik | Packer |
| (2) Ms. Anita Morudkar | Packer |
| (3) Ms. Sharmila Verenkar | Packer |
| (4) Ms. Sukanti Naik | Packer |
| (5) Ms. Bernadette D'Mello | Packer. |

(2) If not, to what relief the above five workmen are entitled ?"

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

R. S. Mardolker, Commissioner, Labour and Ex-Officio Joint Secretary.

Panaji, 4th May, 2000.

Order

No. CL/Pub-Awards/ 97/1554

The following Award dated 6-3-1997 in reference No. IT/3/96 given by the Industrial Tribunal, Panaji-Goa, is hereby published as required under the provisions of Sections 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947).

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

R. S. Mardolker, Ex-Officio Joint Secretary, Labour

Panaji, 18th March, 1997.

IN THE INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL
GOVERNMENT OF GOA
AT PANAJI

(Before Shri Ajit J. Agni, Hon'ble Presiding Officer)

IT/3/96

Shri Harichandra Gaonkar
Rep. by Goa Trade & Commercial
Workers Union
Velho Building
2nd Floor
Panaji Goa

.... Workman/Party I

v/s

M/s Chemical Carriers
(Contractor) of M/s Zuari
Agro Chemicals Ltd.,
Zuarinagar, Sancoale Goa Employer/Party II

Party I represented by Adv. Raju Mangueshkar.

Employer/Party II represented by Adv. P. J. Kamat.

Panaji, 6th March, 1997.

AWARD

In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of Sub-Section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947) the Government of Goa by order dated 2-1-1996 bearing No. 28/60/95-LAB referred the following dispute for adjudication by this Tribunal.

"Whether the action of the management of M/s Chemical Carriers, Contractor of M/s Zuari Agro Chemical Ltd., Zuarinagar, in terminating the services of Shri Harischandra Gaonkar w.e.f. 26-2-93 is legal & justified ?

If not, to what relief the work is entitled?"

2. On receipt of the reference, a case was registered under No. IT/3/96 and registered A/D notice was issued to the parties. In pursuant to the said notice, the parties put in their appearance. The Workman/Party I (For short union) filed Statement of Claim which is at Exb. 4. The facts of the case in brief as pleaded by the Union are that the workman Shri Harichandra Gaonkar was employed with the Party II (For short ' Employer') as a Driver since the year 1980 and his last drawn wages were Rs. 3,500/- p.m. That the employer, somewhere in the month of January 1993 gave lay-off to all their workmen transporting Phosphoric Acid to the principal employer, M/s Zuari Agro Chemicals Ltd., Zuarinagar, Goa. That the workman Shri Gaonkar was among the workmen who were given lay-off. That, one of the condition of lay-off was that each workman would be informed about the lifting of the lay-off no sooner the work was to be resumed and the workmen were to be informed about the same

at the residential address. That, such information was sent to the workman by the employer by letter dated 23-2-93 and a telegram to that effect was also enclosed to that letter. That the workman being sick could not report for work immediately but reported for work on 25-2-93 and produced a Medical Report from Dr. R. T. Parkar. That, though the workman reported for work with the fitness certificate, the employer did not allow him to do so and therefore, the workman approached the Union to take up the matter with the employer. That accordingly, the Union by letter dated 5-3-93 informed the employer that the workman was sick and bed-ridden from 8-2-93 to 24-2-93 and that his absentism was not deliberate. That by another letter dated 18-6-93, the Union requested the employer to allow the workman to resume work. However, no reply was received by the union from the employer to the above said letter and therefore, the Union sent another Registered A/D letter dated 26-11-93 wherein the copies of the earlier letter were enclosed. That for the first time, by letter dated 23-12-93, the employer stated that the workman had voluntarily abandoned his services and therefore, his name was struck off from the employment register w.e.f. 1-2-93. The Union contended that the employer did not initiate any enquiry or disciplinary proceedings to prove the charge of abandonment of service which was in gross violation of principles of natural justice that the Union thereafter raised Industrial Dispute before the Labour Commissioner, Panaji. That the employer assured the Union to look into the matter again and a meeting was organised to discuss the issue of the workman alongwith the other pending issues of the workmen. That in the said discussion, the employer agreed to reinstate the workman by the end of March 1994 or earlier, after M/s Zuari Agro Chemicals Ltd., finalised with the employer the Phosphoric Acid Transport Contract.. That however, the employer failed to keep-up the assurance given and the understanding arrived at the meeting held on 5-2-94. That thereafter, the Assistant Labour Commissioner, Vascoda Gama, Goa, called both the parties for discussion, but the employer did not attend a single conciliation meeting fixed by the Asst. Labour Commissioner and hence the conciliation ended in a failure and the failure report was submitted to the Government by the Assistant Labour Commissioner. The Union contended that the action of the employer in striking-off the name of the workman from the muster roll without holding any enquiry into the charge of abandonment of service is in gross violation of the principles of natural justice and the same is illegal and unjustified. The Union, therefore claimed that the workman was entitled to be reinstated in service with full back wages and other consequential benefits.

3. After the statement of claim was filed by the Union, the case was posted for filing Wr. Statement by the employer. However on 20-1-97 when the case was fixed for hearing, the parties submitted that the dispute between the workman and the employer was amicably settled and they filed terms of settlement dated 20-1-97 duly signed by the President of the Union, the workman and the employer. The parties prayed that

Consent Award be passed in terms of the settlement Exb. 5. I have gone through the terms of the settlement dated 20-1-97 Exb. 5 and I am satisfied that the said terms are certainly in the interest of the workman. I, therefore, accept the submissions made by the parties and pass the Consent Award in terms of settlement. dated 20-1-97 Exb. 5.

ORDER

1. It is agreed between the parties that the management of M/s. Chemical Carriers shall reinstate Mr. Harischandra Gaonkar as driver w.e.f. 1-3-97 on the same terms and conditions as were prevailing at the time of his termination/abandonment of service.

2. It is agreed between the parties that the period of unemployment from 26-2-93 to 28-2-97 shall be treated as leave without pay and the workman shall not be entitled for wages for the said period.

3. It is agreed between the parties that the period of unemployment shall, however, be treated as in service for the purpose of continuity in service.

4. It is agreed between the parties that Mr. Gaonkar shall report for work on 1-3-97.

5. It is agreed between the parties that as from the date of his reporting for work he shall draw wages.

6. It is agreed between the parties that this settlement be filed before the Hon'ble Industrial Tribunal in reference No. IT/3/96 for Consent Award.

No order as to cost.

Inform the Government accordingly.

Sd/-
(AJIT J. AGNI),
Presiding Officer,
Industrial Tribunal.

Order

No. CL/Pub-Awards/97/1555

The following award dated 3-3-1997 in Reference No. IT/12/94 given by the Industrial Tribunal, Panaji-Goa, is hereby published as required under the provisions of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947).

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

R. S. Mardolker, Ex-Officio Joint Secretary (Labour).

Panaji, 18th March, 1997.

IN THE INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL
GOVERNMENT OF GOA
AT PANAJI

(Before Shri Ajit J. Agni, Hon'ble Presiding Officer)

Ref. No. IT/12/94

Shri Dilip Datta Govekar
P.O. Vagator
Chapora, Bardez, Goa ... Workman/Party I

v/s

M/s Satish Vishnu Porob
Municipal Market
Shop No. 150, Mapusa
Bardez-Goa ... Employer/Party II

Dated: 3-3-1997.

Workman/Party I represented by Adv. P. J. Kamat.

Employer/Party II represented by Adv. Shri Suhas Naik.

AWARD

In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of Sub-Section (d) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947) the Government of Goa by order dated 13-9-93 bearing No. 28-49-93-LAB referred the following dispute for adjudication.

"Whether the action of the employer M/s Satish V. Porob, Mapusa Goa in terminating the services of Shri Dilip Datta Govekar, Counter Salesman w.e.f. 7-9-92 is legal and justified?"

If not, to what relief the workman is entitled?

2. On receipt of the reference from the Government of Goa, a case was registered under No. IT/12/94 and Registered A/D notices were issued to the parties. In pursuance to the said notice, the parties put in their appearance. The Workman/Party I (For short "Workman") filed his statement of Claim which is at Exb.4. The facts of the case in brief as pleaded by the workman are that he was employed with the employer/Party II (for short "Employer") as a Counter salesman from 1-6-87. That the employer was engaged in the business of selling cosmetics, ready-made garments, cloth materials and other like goods. That, when the workman joined the services, he was not issued with a letter of appointment, but after about 4 years, the employer issued to him a letter of appointment stating that the labour authorities had visited the shop premises of the employer and directed him to maintain the records and comply with the requirements under the Shops and Establishment Act, 1973 and other various Labour Acts. That in the year 1991, one Shri Sopan, who was employed by the employer to maintain and regularise the records of the establishment of the employer approached the workman

with a printed form "Letter of Appointment" and told the workman that in order to put the records of the establishment straight and to show that the establishment was maintaining the records properly, the said letter of appointment had to be issued to the workman. That the contents of the said letter of appointment were filled by said Shri Sopan himself and the signature of the workman was obtained on the same showing the date of appointment as 1-1-1991. That when the workman questioned Shri Sopan as to why the date was shown as 1-1-91, he told the workman that it was done for the sake of formality. That the workman worked diligently during the tenure of his services and had a clean service record. That surprisingly, the employer terminated the services of the workman w.e.f. 7-9-92 without assigning any reasons and without complying with the legal formalities. That the workman by letter dated 21-9-92 informed the employer that the termination of his service was illegal and unjustified and he should be reinstated in services with full back wages and continuity in service. That, since the employer did not accede to the request of the workman, he raised an Industrial Dispute before the Asst. Labour Commissioner, Mapusa by a letter dated 12-1-93. That the Asst. Labour Commissioner held conciliation Proceedings which ended in failure and therefore, the Asst. Labour Commissioner submitted his failure report to the Government. The workman contended that the employer terminated his services illegally and without any justification. The workman therefore, claim that he be entitled to be reinstated in service with full back wages and continuity in service.

3. The Employer filed the Written Statement which is at Exb. 6. By way of preliminary objection, the employer stated that the reference made by the Government is null and void as the reference proceeds on the assumption of termination of services of the workman at the instance of the employer, when in fact, the workman had abandoned the services. As regards the merits of the case, the employer denied that the workman was employed prior to 1-1-91. The employer denied that the services of Shri Sopan were engaged for keeping and maintaining the records and stated that his services were engaged only for consultancy and advise. The employer denied that Shri Sopan approached the workman with a printed form of "Letter of Appointment" or that he informed the workman that it was necessary to show the Labour Authorities that the records are being maintained. The employer also denied that the contents of the letter of appointment were filled in by Shri Sopan or that signature of the workman was obtained by him on the said letter of appointment as on 1-1-1991. The employer denied that the workman worked diligently or that he had a clean service record or that his services were terminated w.e.f. 7-9-92 without assigning any reasons and without complying with the legal formalities. The employer stated that the workman abandoned the services from 7-9-92 and the employer sent a letter dated 26-9-92 to the workman asking him to resume his duties within 8 days from the date of the receipt of the said letter and that another letter dated 1-2-93 was sent to the Asst. Labour Commissioner, Mapusa stating that the services of the workman were not terminated and further requested the Asst. Labour Commissioner to advise the workman to resume his duties. The employer stated that it was clear that the workman was not interested in continuing his

services with the employer and had abandoned the services from 7-9-92. The employer stated that the Conciliation proceedings held before the Asst. Labour Commissioner failed because of the rigid stand taken by the workman in not accepting the offer given by the employer to resume the duties. The employer denied that there was termination of the services of the workman by the employer or that the employer acted malafidely, arbitrarily or illegally. The employer denied that the workman was entitled to any relief as claimed by him. Thereafter, the workman filed rejoinder at Exb. 7 controverting the pleadings made by the employer in the written statement.

4. On the pleadings of the parties, issues were framed at Exb. 8 and the evidence of the workman was recorded. After the evidence of the workman was recorded, Government of Goa by order dated 26-6-96 bearing No. 28-49-LAB amended the reference and consequently the schedule of the dispute stood amended as follows:

" Whether the management of M/s. Satish Vishnu Porob terminated the services of their workman Shri Dilip Datta Govekar or the workman had voluntarily abandoned the services w.e.f. 7-9-92 ?"

5. After the workman had closed his evidence, the case was fixed for recording the evidence of the employer on 11-2-97. When the case was fixed for hearing, the parties appeared alongwith their advocates and submitted that the dispute between the parties was duly settled and parties filed the consent terms dated 11-2-97. Exb. 17 and prayed that consent Award be passed in terms of the settlement. I have gone through the terms of the settlement dated 11-2-97, Exb. 17 which are duly signed by the parties and their respective advocates. I am satisfied that the terms of the settlement are certainly in the interest of the workman. I, therefore, accept the submissions made by the parties and pass the Consent Award in terms of settlement dated 11-2-97 Exb. 17.

1. It is agreed between the parties that the Party I is legally relieved from the services of the Party II.

2. It is agreed between the parties that the Party II shall pay an amount of Rs. 17,500/- (Rupees Seventeen Thousand Five Hundred only) to the Party I in full and final settlement of all his dues.

3. It is agreed between the parties that on payment of the above amount by the Party II to the Party I, the Party I shall have no claim of whatsoever nature against the Party II.

4. It is agreed between the parties that the amount agreed shall be paid by the Party II to Party I on the date of filing of these terms.

No order as to cost.

Inform the Government about passing of the Award.

Sd/-
(AJIT J. AGNI),
Presiding Officer,
Industrial Tribunal.

Order

No. CL/Pub-Award/97/1553

The following Award dated 5-3-1997 in reference No. IT/41/90 given by the Industrial Tribunal, Panaji-Goa, is hereby published as required under the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947).

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

R. S. Mardolker, Ex-Officio Joint Secretary (Labour).

Panaji, 26th March, 1997.

IN THE INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL GOVERNMENT OF GOA AT PANAJI

(Before Shri Ajit J. Agni, Hon'ble Presiding Officer)

Ref. IT/41/90

Workman
Rep. By Goa Trade & Commercial
Workers Union
Velho Building,
2nd Floor
Panaji Goa ... Workman /Party I

v/s

M/s Santos & Sons
Vidhyanagar, Aquem
Margao Goa. Employer/Prty II

Party I represented by Shri Raju Mangueshkar.
Party II represented by Adv. Shri G. D. Kirtani.

Dated: 5-3-1997.

AWARD

In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of Sub-Section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947) the Government of Goa by order dated 28-9-1990 bearing No. 28/49/90-LAB referred the following dispute for adjudication by this Tribunal.

2. The schedule is appended with as many as 12 demands for

- (1) Gradation Pay Scales /Flat-rise
- (2) Fixed Dearnes Allowances
- (3) Variable Dearness Allowances
- (4) House Rent Allowances
- (5) Travelling Allowances

- (6) Uniform and Washing Allowance
- (7) Tea and Snacks
- (8) Leave Facilities
- (9) Issue of Pay-slips

3. On receipt of the reference, a case was registered under No. IT/41/90 and registered A/D notices were issued to both the parties. The party I (For short 'Union') filed its statement of claim which is at Exb. 5. In the said statement of claim, the Union raised various contentions justifying the demands made by the Union against the Party II (For short 'Employer'). The employer thereafter, filed its Written statement which is at Exb. 7. In the said Written Statement, the employer, disputed the various contentions made by the Union in their Statement of Claim. The employer denied that the workmen were entitled to any reliefs as claimed and submitted that the demands made by the Union were unreasonable and were not within the frame-work of law. The Union thereafter, filed Rejoinder which is at Exb. 8. On the pleadings of the parties, issues were framed at Exb. 9 and the case was posted for the evidence of the Parties.

4. On 10-10-1996, when the case was fixed for recording the evidence of the Union, an application dated 10-10-1996 was filed by the President of the Union stating that the workmen were not interested in pursuing with the demands made by them through the Union and therefore, the union was withdrawing their demands raised by them against the employer and which was the subject matter of the reference. The employer gave No Objection for the withdrawal of the demands by the Union.

5. That since the union had raised the demands on behalf of the workmen and since the Union has withdrawn the said demands on the ground that the workmen are not interested in pursuing with the said demands, the dispute does not exist and consequently, the reference does not survive. In the circumstances, I pass the following order:-

ORDER

It is hereby held that the reference does not survive since the dispute does not exist in view of the withdrawal of the demands by the Union, namely, the Goa Trade and Commercial Workers Union, Panaji Goa

No order as to costs.

Inform the Government accordingly.

Sd/-
(AJIT J. AGNI),
Presiding Officer,
Industrial Tribunal.

Order

No. CL/Pub-Awards/97/1957

The following Award dated 10-4-1997 in reference No. IT/43/89-33 given by the Industrial Tribunal, Panaji-Goa, is hereby published as required under the provisions of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947).

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

R. S. Mardolker, Ex-Officio Joint Secretary (Labour).

Panaji, 23rd April, 1997.

IN THE INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL GOVERNMENT OF GOA AT PANAJI

(Before Shri Ajit J. Agni, Hon'ble Presiding Officer)

Ref. No. IT/43/89

Miss Rajani Kamat,
(Since deceased), by her legal Representative,

1. Smt. Shantabai Vinayak Kamat,
(Since deceased), by her legal representatives,

- (a) Shri Sadanand Vinayak Kamat
- (b) Shri Arvind Vinayak Kamat
- (c) Shri Narendra Vinayak Kamat
- (d) Smt. Jaimini Dattatraya Dhaimode
- (e) Smt. Anjani Prakash Navelkar

Represented by Goa Trade
& Commercial Workers
Union, Velho Building,
Panaji-Goa.

...Workman/Party I

v/s

Goa State Cooperative Bank Ltd.,
Dayanand Smruti Building
Panaji-Goa.

...Employer/Party II

Workman/Party - I Represented by Shri Subhash Naik.

Employer/Party - II Represented by Adv. A Nigalye.

Dated:- 31st March, 1997.

AWARD

In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Government of Goa by order dated 29th June 1989 bearing No. 28/30/89-ILD referred the following dispute for adjudication by this Tribunal.

"Whether the action of the management of Goa State Cooperative Bank Ltd; Panaji, in terminating the services of their workman Miss Rajani V. Kamat w.e.f. 17-8-1988 is legal and justified?

If not, to what relief the workman is entitled?"

2. On receipt of the reference, a case was registered under No. IT/43/89 and registered A/D notice was issued to the parties. In pursuance to the said notice, the parties put in their appearance. The workman/Party I (For short "Party I") filed her statement of claim which is at Exb. 2. The facts of the case in brief as pleaded by the Party, I are that she was employed with the employer/Party II (For short "Party II") as a typist on 15-12-69 at the Head office at Panaji. That subsequently, she was re-designated as a clerk and was paid salary of Rs. 2900/- per month. That on 30-7-88, the Party II issued to her a memorandum dated 30-7-88 alleging that on 20-7-88 at about 10 a.m. she misbehaved and committed an act of willful in subordination or disobedience and indecent behaviour on the premises of the Bank. That thereafter, another letter dated 17-8-88 was received by the party I levelling more charges against her and informing her that she was dismissed from service with immediate effect. That earlier to that, a show cause notice was issued to her dated 7-1-87 wherein certain charges were levelled against her and enquiry was held into the said charges by the enquiry officer, Shri A. Nigalye. That the findings given by the enquiry officer were perverse which were accepted by the party II and punishment of withholding of two annual increment was imposed on her. That in the order, it was stated that in case any misconduct or misbehaviour was reported against her in future, her services would be terminated without notice. The Party I contended that the incidents of 20-7-88 and 8-8-88 alleged against her are false and the Party II held that the charges were proved against without holding any enquiry which is in violation of Labour law and principles of natural justice. The Party I contended that the termination of her services by the Party II is illegal and unjustified and she is liable to be reinstated with full back wages.

3. The Party II filed the written statement which is at Exb. 3. The party II stated that though initially the service of the Party II was satisfactory, some years thereafter, her behaviour became abnormal and there were several complaints against her. The party II stated that the Party I was quarrelling with her colleagues, officers and was abusing them and the customers of the Party II and also she was disobeying the orders of the superiors. The Party II stated that the party I was orally warned several times and no serious action was taken against her. The Party II stated that on 11-6-85 a transfer order was issued to her transferring her to Diu branch. But the Party I made a representation to the Party II requesting to cancel her transfer order which request was accepted and the Party I was transferred to Dayanand Nagar branch on her undertaking that she will not repeat her misbehaviour. The Party II stated that inspite of her transfer to Dayanand Nagar branch, the Party I did not improve her behaviour. Therefore, a show cause notice dated 7-1-87 was issued

to her and inquiry was held, and the enquiry officer submitted his report on 26-6-87 holding the party I guilty of the charges made against her. The party II stated that though Managing Director was of the opinion that the party I should be dismissed from service, he took a lenient view and with held two annual increments of the Party I and warned that in case the party I repeated misbehaviour in future, her services were liable to be terminated without notice. The Party II stated that inspite of the warning given, the party I, continued with her misbehaviour and therefore, after considering her past record, the Party II had no other alternative but to dismiss her from service as the acts amounted to gross misconduct in terms of the bi-partite settlement signed by the Party II with the Union. The Party II denied that terminating of services of the Party I w.e.f. 17-8-1988 is illegal and unjustified. The Party II stated that the party I is not entitled to any relief as claimed. The Party I thereafter filed Rejoinder which is at Exb. 4.

4. On the pleadings of the parties, issues were framed at Exb. 5. During the pendency of the proceedings, the workman Miss Rajani V. Kamat expired and therefore her mother Smt. Shantabai Vinayak Kamat was brought on record in her place as her legal representative. Subsequently, said Smt. Shantabai Kamat also expired and hence Shri Sadanand Kamat, Shri Arvind Kamat, Shri Narendra Kamat, Smt. Jaimini Dhaimode and Smt. Anjani Navelkar were brought on record as Party I in place of deceased Smt. Shantabai Kamat, as her legal representative.

5. On 13-5-1996, when the case was fixed for the hearing, Shri Subhash Naik, representing the party I, and Adv. Shri A. Nigalye, representing the party II appeared and submitted that the dispute between the Party I and the Party II was duly settled and filed application dated 13-5-96 Exb. 34 containing the consent terms. In the said application, the party I and the party II prayed that the Consent Award be passed in terms of the said consent Terms. I have gone through the Consent Terms which are signed by Shri Subhash Naik, representing the Party I and Adv. Shri A. Nigalye, representing the party II, and I am satisfied that in the said Consent Terms are definitely in the interest of the Party I. I, therefore, accept the submissions made by the parties and pass the consent Award in terms of the Consent Terms dated 13-5-1996.

ORDER

1. The Party No. II (The Goa State Co-operative Bank Ltd) does hereby agree to pay to Shri Sadanand Vinayak Kamat, authorised representative of the legal heirs of the deceased workman Miss Rajani V. Kamat a sum of Rs. 87,664.80 (Rupees Eighty Seven Thousand Six Hundred Sixty Four and paise eighty only) towards her legal dues i.e. gratuity payable to said Miss Rajani V. Kamat, together with simple interest thereon at the rate of 6% per annum from 17-8-1988 till date of payment and encashment of earned leave. Party II agrees to assist the legal heir in obtaining Provident

Fund amount due to her. The party I agrees having received the above amount by way of pay order No. 30/110 dated 3-5-96 for Rs. 87,664.80 favouring Shri Sadanand Vinayak Kamat.

2. It is hereby agreed by and between the parties that on signing of these consent terms, all dispute between Miss Rajani V. Kamat and/or her legal representatives in respect of her termination are conclusively settled and that her legal representatives have no other claim or demand of any nature whatsoever in respect of her termination against the party No. II-Bank.

3. The parties agree to file these consent terms in the Hon'ble Industrial Tribunal, Government of Goa, in Reference No. IT/43/89 with a request to pass an Award in the said reference in terms of these consent terms.

No order as to cost.

Inform the Government accordingly.

Sd/-
(AJIT J. AGNI),
Presiding Officer,
Industrial Tribunal.

Order

No. CL/Pub-Awards/97/2050

The following Award dated 11-4-1997 in Reference No. IT/30/93 given by the Industrial Tribunal, Panaji-Goa, is hereby published as required under the provisions of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947).

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

R. S. Mardolker, Ex-Officio Joint Secretary, Labour.

Panaji, 5th May, 1997.

IN THE INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL GOVERNMENT OF GOA AT PANAJI

(Before Shri Ajit J. Agni, Hon'ble Presiding Officer)

Ref. No. IT/30/93

1. Workman
Rep. By the General Secretary
Hindustan Ciba Geigy Ltd.,
Employees Union
Corlim, Ilhas Goa ... Workman/Party I (1)

2. Workman,
Rep. By the President,
Kamgar Sabha,
Kennedy House, 4th Floor
Goregaonkar Road
Bombay 400007 ... Workman/Party I (2)

v/s

M/s Hindustan Ciba,
Geigy Limited,
Santa Monica Plant,
Corlim, Ilhas Goa. ... Employer/Party II

Workmen/Party I (1)	—	Represented by Adv. Shri A. Nigalye
Workman/Party I (2)	—	Rep. by Adv. Shri P. J. Kamat.
Employer/Party II	—	Rep. by Adv. Shri C. V. Pawaskar.

Dated: 11-4-97.

AWARD

In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of the Sub-Section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Government of Goa by order dated 8-4-1993 bearing No. 28/24/93-LAB referred the following dispute for adjudication by this Tribunal.

"Whether the introduction of in-process analysis at Santa Monica Plant amounts to change in service conditions of Process Technicians and Process Chemists and is in violation of clause No.12(c) of the Settlement dated 29-4-1985 ?

If so, to what relief the workmen are entitled ?"

2. On receipt of the reference, a case was registered under No. IT/30/93 and registered A/D notices were issued to the Parties. In pursuance to the said notice, the parties put in their appearance. The workmen/Party I (for short "Union") was represented by Adv. Shri A. Nigalye and the Employer/Party II (For short "Employer" was represented by Adv. C. V. Pawaskar. The Union filed its statement of claim which is at Exb. 4. The facts of the case in brief as pleaded by the Union are that several settlements were signed by the union with the employer and one of such settlement was the settlement dated 29-4-1985. That clause 12(c) of the said settlement provided that in-process controls shall be carried out by the Process Technicians/Process Chemist after setting up of in-process development/control Laboratory. That after the expiry of the said settlement another settlement dated 6-12-89 was signed which provided all the existing terms and conditions which are not modified or attended by the said settlement datd 6-12-89 shall continue to be in full force and effect. That thereafter, the employer displayed a notice on the notice board of the factory stating that in terms of clause 12(c) of the settlement dated 29-4-85 Process Technicians/Process Chemists

were required to carryout in-process control analysis after setting up in-process developments/control laboratory and as the said laboratory was set up, the concerned employees were required to carryout the analysis. That the Union replied to the employer objecting to the said notice because the settlement dated 29-4-85 provided that in-process controls will be carried by the Process Technicians/Process Chemists and it did not make any provision for in-process analysis. That as the matter could not be resolved, the Union requested the Labour Commissioner to intervene in the matter, and the matter was admitted in the conciliation by the Asst. Labour Commissioner. That in the meantime, the General Secretary of the union employed as a Sr. Process Technician received a letter dated 3-4-90 stating that while he was in first shift, he refused to carryout the analysis of DCE/TMP ex-pos 1003 which amounted to misconduct as per the certified standing orders, and he was warned that in case he refused to carry out the work, disciplinary action would be taken against him. That he received a show cause notice on 20-4-90 stating that he had refused to carryout the process control on 20-4-90 and hence he was liable for misconduct. That the said General Secretary received another letter from the employer stating that he still continued to disobey the lawful and reasonable order and hence he is suspended from service with immediate effect. That thereafter, all the workers went on strike from 7-5-90 and it was withdrawn on signing of the bilateral settlement on 14-5-90. That in terms of clause 3 of the said settlement, it was agreed that in-process controls carried out by all employers prior to 6-5-90 shall continue to be carried out and the General Secretary shall also carry out in-process controls without prejudice to the pending dispute. The Union contended that the dispute in present reference relates to introduction of in-process analysis and there has been an attempt on the part of the employer to term the "In-process Analysis" as "In-process Controls" and force the workmen to perform the said work under threat of disciplinary action, which does not form part of the duties of Process Technician/Process Chemists.

The Union contended that introduction of "In-process Analysis" for process Technicians/Process Chemists is unauthorised, unreasonable, unjustified and illegal being in contravention of the terms and conditions of employment of the concerned workmen, as also in violation of Sec. 9-A of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

3. The employer filed the written statement which is at Exb. 5. The employer stated that the reference is bad as what is referred cannot take the character of industrial dispute. The employer contended that the issue regarding in-process control is covered by the settlement dated 29-4-85 and hence it cannot form the subject matter of industrial dispute. The employer further contended that the question of violaton of provisions of the settlement cannot form the subject matter of an industrial dispute as if at all, it would be a case of initiating prosecution and not a case for adjudication. The employer stated that in-process control work involves in-process analysis as well, which is the integral part of the process control,

as without in process analysis there cannot be in-process control. The employer stated that as a Technician, an employee is required to attend to in-process analysis, which falls within the ambit of his duties and merely because for want of necessary equipments in the department some in-process control work carried in Quality Assurance Laboratory would not be a circumstances for presuming that it is not a part of work of process technician/process chemist. The employer stated that in-process analysis is not different from in-process control and the process technician/process chemist working on process control can attend to the same work without any difficulty as it is not a complex job but a simple process. The employer stated that it was justified in initiating disciplinary action as the workmen refused to attend to in-process control analysis inspite of advice and opportunities given. The employer denied that as per the terms of the settlement dated 29-4-85, the concerned workmen were not required to attend to process analysis or that the in-process analysis is a very complicated and specialised work compared to process control as contended by the Union. The employer also denied that it committed breach of the terms and conditions of employment of the concerned workmen by asking them to attend to process analysis, or that the said requirement is unauthorised, unreasonable, unjustified and illegal and is in violation of Sec. 9A of the I. D. Act, 1947 as alleged by the Union. The employer therefore, stated that the workmen are not entitled any relief as claimed.

4. On the pleadings of the parties issues were framed at Exb. 6. Thereafter the Union filed an application dated 8-1-96 at Exb. 8. stating that the majority of the workmen of the employer have joined the Kamgar Sabha and hence the said Union should be joined as a party to the proceedings being a necessary party. The employer admitted in its reply that the majority of the workmen had joined the Kamgar Sabha and stated that it has no objection for joining Kamgar Sabha as a party to the proceedings. Accordingly, by order dated 2-2-96, the Kamgar Sabha was added as a Party No. I(2) to the above proceedings.

5. On 31-3-1997, when the case was fixed for hearing, the party No. I(2) Kamgar Sabha (For short "Kamgar Sabha") filed an application at Exb. 9. stating that it did not desire to pursue with the matter on account of certain developments that have taken place and prayed that no dispute award be passed. The Union also filed an application dated 31-3-97 stating that all the workmen have joined Kamgar Sabha. The Union prayed that the application dated 31-3-1997 filed by the Kamgar Sabha be granted and no dispute Award be passed, Adv. Shri Pawaskar representing the employer submitted that the employer has no objection for passing the no dispute Award.

6. In the present case, dispute was raised by the Union and the reference was made by the Government at the instance of the said Union. Subsequently, the workmen

joined the Kamgar Sabha which is not in dispute. Since the Union as well as the Kamgar Sabha have stated that they do not wish to pursue with the matter and that no dispute award be passed, the dispute does not exist and consequently, the reference does survive. In the circumstance, I pass the following order:—

ORDER

It is hereby held that the reference does not survive since the dispute does not exist.

No order as to costs. Inform the Government accordingly.

Sd/-
(AGIT J. AGNI),
Presiding Officer,
Industrial Tribunal.

Department of Law and Judiciary

(Establishment Division)

Order

No. 1-24-84/LD

Read: Government Order No. 1-24-84/LD dated 25-6-1987.

Government of Goa is pleased to include Shri Pratapsingh M. Nimbalkar, Advocate, Sanquelim-Goa in the panel of Advocates constituted vide above mentioned Government Order dated 25-6-1987 for the purpose of appointment as Government Counsel in the District Courts and Subordinate Courts in the State of Goa under the terms and conditions as prescribed by Government of Goa vide office Memorandum No. 1-24-84/LD dated 25-6-1987.

He will be entitled to receive his fees as per the terms and conditions contained in office Memorandum of even number dated 25-6-1987 and as revised from time to time on submission of bills (in duplicate) to this Department attaching therewith the attendance certificate issued by the respective Courts.

The allotment of the briefs of the cases will be done by this Department.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

Ashok N. P. Dessai, Under Secretary (Law).

Panaji, 27th April, 2000.

Department of Panchayati Raj & Community Development

Directorate of Panchayats

Notification

No. 19/32/DP/PAN/SARP-DY.SARP/98/1036

In pursuance of sub-section (1) of Section 46 of the Goa Panchayat Raj Act, 1994 (Goa Act 14 of 1994) it is hereby notified for the information of the public that the member mentioned in column No. 3 and 4 of the schedule herebelow have been elected as Sarpanch to the Panchayats mentioned in the corresponding entry No. 2 of the said schedule in the meeting held on 6-3-2000.

SCHEDULE

Sr. No.	Name of the Village Panchayat	Name and Address of the Sarpanch	Name and Address of the Dy. Sarpanch
1.	2.	3.	4.
1.	Bandora V. P. Ponda Block	Kum. Prashanti Prabhakar Tilve. Nagueshi, Bandora.	—

G. G. Kambli, Director of Panchayats.

Panaji, 28th April, 2000.

Notification

No. 19/32/DP/PAN/SARP-DY.SARP/98/1037

In pursuance of sub-section (1) of Section 46 of the Goa Panchayat Raj Act, 1994 (Goa Act 14 of 1994) it is hereby notified for the information of the public that the member mentioned in column No. 3 and 4 of the schedule herebelow have been elected as Dy. Sarpanch to the Panchayats mentioned in the corresponding entry No. 2 of the said schedule in the meeting held on 6-3-2000.

SCHEDULE

Sr. No.	Name of the Village Panchayat	Name and Address of the Sarpanch	Name and Address of the Dy. Sarpanch
1.	2.	3.	4.
1.	Durbhat V. P. Ponda Block	Shri Premanand B. Naik, Agapur Ponda Goa.	—

G. G. Kambli, Director of Panchayats.

Panaji, 28th April, 2000.

Notification

No. 19/32/DP/PAN/SARP-DY.SARP/98/1035

In pursuance of sub-section (1) of Section 46 of the Goa Panchayat Raj Act, 1994 (Goa Act 14 of 1994) it is hereby notified for the information of the public that the member mentioned in column No. 3 and 4 of the schedule herebelow have been elected as Dy. Sarpanch to the Panchayats mentioned in the corresponding entry No. 2 of the said schedule in the meeting held on 10/03/2000.

SCHEDULE

Sr. No.	Name of the Village Panchayat	Name and Address of the Sarpanch	Name and Address of the Dy. Sarpanch
1.	2.	3.	4.
1.	Cundaim V. P. Ponda Block	—	Shri Pramod Chudu Fadte, Manasvada, Cundaim.

G. G. Kambli, Director of Panchayats.

Panaji, 28th April, 2000.

Notification

No. 19/32/DP/PAN/SARP-DY.SARP/98/1033

In pursuance of sub-section (1) of Section 46 of the Goa Panchayat Raj Act, 1994 (Goa Act 14 of 1994) it is hereby notified for the information of the public that the member mentioned in column No. 3 and 4 of the schedule herebelow have been elected as Sarpanch and Dy. Sarpanch to the Panchayats mentioned in the corresponding entry No. 2 of the said schedule in the meeting held on 24/03/2000.

SCHEDULE

Sr. No.	Name of the Village Panchayat	Name and Address of the Sarpanch	Name and Address of the Dy. Sarpanch
1.	2.	3.	4.
1.	Ibrampur V. P. Pernem Block	Shri Rajaram Madhu Gawas Ibrampur	Smt. Prashila Prakash Rane, Ibrampur.

G. G. Kambli, Director of Panchayats.

Panaji, 28th April, 2000.

Notification

No. 19/32/DP/PAN/SARP-DY.SARP/98/1034

In pursuance of sub-section (1) of Section 46 of the Goa Panchayat Raj Act, 1994 (Goa Act 14 of 1994) it is hereby notified for the information of the public that the member mentioned in column No. 3 and 4 of the schedule herebelow have been elected as Sarpanch to the Panchayats mentioned in the corresponding entry No. 2 of the said schedule in the meeting held on 24-3-2000.

SCHEDULE

Sr. No.	Name of the Village Panchayat	Name and Address of the Sarpanch	Name and Address of the Dy. Sarpanch
1.	2.	3.	4.
1.	Parcem V. P. Pernem Block	Shri Ajay Suryakant Kalangutkar, Chandevada, Parcem.	—

G. G. Kambli, Director of Panchayats.

Panaji, 28th April, 2000.

Department of Public Health

Order

No. 24/1/2000-I/PHD

Read: Government Notification No. 13/1/95-I/PHD (PF) dated 21 December, 1995.

Government is pleased to recognise the "Swasthiyog Prathistan Fracture and Orthopaedic Hospital", Miraj as recognised Hospital for purpose of Mediclaim for the residents of Goa for specialized treatment of "Illizarov Instrumentation", under the Rules and the procedure laid down by the Government from time to time.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

T. J. Faleiro, Joint Secretary (Health).

Panaji, 20th April, 2000.

Order

No. 22/2/97-DHS

On the recommendation of the Goa Public Service Commission vide their letter No. COM/1/5/24(2)/89-98/Vol. I dated 23-2-2000 Government is pleased to appoint Dr. Rajan Lambor, to the post of Public Health

Dentist (Group 'A' Gazetted), under the Directorate of Health Services, Panaji with effect from the date he joins/report for duties in the pay scale of Rs. 8000-275-13500 on the terms and conditions contained in the Memorandum of even number dated 17-4-2000 and posted at Primary Health Centre, Aldona vice Dr. Joe D'Costa transferred.

Dr. Rajan Lambor will be on probation for a period of two years.

Dr. Rajan Lambor has been medically examined and found fit by the Medical Board.

The appointment of Dr. Lambor is subject to the verification of his character and antecedents.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

T. J. Faleiro, Joint Secretary (Health).

Panaji, 2nd May, 2000.

Department of Revenue

Order

No. 18-1-93-RD

Read: Order No. 6/4/91-PER (Part-I) (A) dated 17-4-2000 of the Personnel Department.

On placement of the services of Shri U. D. Gaitonde, by the Department of Personnel, and in exercise of the powers conferred by Article 118 of the Legislative Diploma No. 2070 dated 15-4-1961, the Government of Goa is pleased to appoint Shri U. D. Gaitonde, Junior Scale Officer of Goa Civil Service, as the Administrator of Comunidades of Central Zone, Panaji, with effect from the date he assumed charge.

The appointment shall be on deputation, which shall be initially for a period of one year and shall be governed by the standard terms of deputation as contained in the Personnel Department's O. M. No. 13/4/74-PER, dated 10-10-1990 as amended from time to time.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

D. M. Borkar, Under Secretary (Revenue).

Panaji, 2nd May, 2000.

Notification

No. 22/36/2000-RD

Whereas it appears to the Government of Goa (hereinafter referred to as "the Government") that the land specified in the Schedule hereto (hereinafter referred to as the "said land") is likely to be needed for public purpose viz. for construction of road from main road to

Namaswada to Narale in V.P. Veling-Priol-Cuncoliem in Ponda Taluka.

Now, Therefore, the Government hereby notifies, under sub-section (1) of section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 (Central Act 1 of 1894) (hereinafter referred to as the "said Act") that the said land is likely to be needed for the purpose specified above.

2. All persons interested in the said land are hereby warned not to obstruct or interfere with any surveyor or other persons employed upon the said land for the purpose of the acquisition. Any contract for the disposal of the said land by sale, lease, mortgage, assignment, exchange or otherwise, or any outlay commenced or improvements made thereon without the sanction of the Collector appointed under paragraph 4 below, after the date of the publication of this Notification, will under clause (seventh) of section 24 of the said Act, be disregarded by him while assessing compensation for such parts of the said land as may be finally acquired.

3. If the Government is satisfied that the said land is needed for the aforesaid purpose, a declaration to that effect under section 6 of the said Act will be published in the Official Gazette and in two daily newspapers and public notice thereof shall be given in due course. If the acquisition is abandoned wholly or in part, the fact will also be notified in the same manner.

4. The Government further appoints, under clause (c) of section 3 of the said Act, the Dy. Collector/SDO, Ponda to perform the functions of a Collector under the said Act in respect of the said land.

5. The Government also authorises under sub-section (2) of section 4 of the said Act, the following Officers to do the Acts, specified therein in respect of the said land.

1. The Collector, North Goa District, Panaji.
2. Deputy Collector/SDO, Ponda.
3. Executive Engineer, Div. XVIII(R), P.W.D. Ponda.
4. Director of Settlement & Land Records, Panaji.

6. A rough plan of the said land is available for inspection in the Office of the Dy. Collector/SDO, Ponda for a period of 30 days from the date of publication of this Notification in the Official Gazette.

SCHEDULE

(Description of the said land)

Taluka: Ponda		Village: Cuncoliem
Survey No./ Sub-Div. No.	Names of the persons believed to be interested	Appx. area in sq. mts.
1	2	3
63/2 part	O: Vasant Guno Kenkro. T: Umesh Krishna Naik Pandurang Krishna Naik	510

1	2	3
61/2 part	O: Shri Devki Krishna Marcel Devasthan T: Mukund Katu Naik	825
62/1 part	O: Shri Devki Krishna Marcel Devasthan T: Mukund Katu Naik Dattaram Shambu Gawade Anant Rama Gawade Babuso Narayan Gawade Ramnath Kayatu Gawade Bhiku Shiva Naik	650
75/4 part	O: Shri Devki Krishna Marcel Devasthan T: Gurudas Shaba Naik Vishwanath G. Gawade Dattaram Babuso Naik Honu Vithal Jalmi Lakhu Kaitu Gawade.	130
Boundaries:		
North:- S. No. 63/2, 61/2, 62/1, 75/4.		
South:- —do—		
East:- Road.		
West:- S. No. 63/2.		
Total:- 2115		

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

D. M. Borkar, Under Secretary (Revenue).

Panaji, 28th April, 2000.

Notification

No. 22/10/2000-RD

Whereas it appears to the Government of Goa (hereinafter referred to as "the Government") that the land specified in the Schedule hereto (hereinafter referred to as the "said land") is likely to be needed for public purpose viz. For Aug. of Raw Water to Assonora from Anjunem Irrigation Project through River Valvant laying and commissioning of C. I. conveying main at Village Carapur in Bicholim Taluka and village Podocem in Satari Taluka (Phase-I) for the pipeline stretch from chainage 0.00 mts. to 2000 mts.

Now, therefore, the Government hereby notifies, under sub-section (1) of section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 (Central Act 1 of 1894) (hereinafter referred to as the "said Act") that the said land is likely to be needed for the purpose specified above.

2. All persons interested in the said land are hereby warned not to obstruct or interfere with any surveyor or other persons employed upon the said land for the purpose of the said acquisition. Any contract for the disposal of the said land by sale, lease, mortgage, assignment, exchange or otherwise, or any outlay commenced or improvements made thereon without the sanction of the

Collector appointed under paragraph 4 below, after the date of the publication of this Notification, will under clause (seventh) of section 24 of the said Act, be disregarded by him while assessing compensation for such parts of the said land as may be finally acquired.

3. If the Government is satisfied that the said land is needed for the aforesaid purpose, a declaration to that effect under section 6 of the said Act will be published in the Official Gazette and in two daily newspapers and public notice thereof shall be given in due course. If the acquisition is abandoned wholly or in part, the fact will also be notified in the same manner.

4. The Government further appoints, under clause (c) of section 3 of the said Act, the Dy. Collector/SDO, Panaji to perform the functions of a Collector under the said Act in respect of the said land.

5. The Government also authorises under sub-section (2) of section 4 of the said Act, the following Officers to do the Acts, specified therein in respect of the said land:

1. The Collector, North Goa District, Panaji.
2. Dy. Collector/SDO, Panaji.
3. Executive Engineer, Div. XVII (PHE-N), PWD, Alto Porvorim, Bardez.
4. Director of Settlement & Land Records, Panaji.

6. A rough plan of the said land is available for inspection in the Office of the Dy. Collector/SDO, Panaji for a period of 30 days from the date of publication of this Notification in the Official Gazette.

SCHEDULE

(Description of the said land)

Taluka: Satari		Village: Podocem
Survey No./ Sub-Div. No.	Names of the persons believed to be interested	Appx. Area in sq. mts.
1	2	3
66	O: Devasthan Shri Dev Vithal of Karapur	3600
TULUKA: BICHOLIM		VILLAGE: CARAPUR
101/2	O: Government of Goa	150
101/1	O: Shri Dev Vithal Devasthan	150
Total		3900

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

D. M. Borkar, Under Secretary (Revenue)

Panaji, 27th April, 2000.

Notification

SCHEDULE

No. 22/67/99-RD

(Description of the said land)

Whereas it appears to the Government of Goa (hereinafter referred to as "the Government") that the land specified in the Schedule hereto (hereinafter referred to as the "said land") is likely to be needed for public purpose viz. for construction of road from MDR junction at Arossim joining Arossim beach road in a length of 510 mts. In V. P. Cansaulim.

Now, therefore, the Government hereby notices, under sub-section (1) of section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 (Central Act 1 of 1894) (hereinafter referred to as the "said Act") that the said land is likely to be needed for the purpose specified above.

2. All persons interested in the said land are hereby warned not to obstruct or interfere with any surveyor or other persons employed upon the said land for the purpose of the said acquisition. Any contract for the disposal of the said land by sale, lease, mortgage, assignment, exchange or otherwise, or any outlay commenced or improvements made thereon without the sanction of the Collector appointed under paragraph 4 below, after the date of the publication of this Notification, will under clause (seventh) of section 24 of the said Act, be disregarded by him while assessing compensation for such parts of the said land as may be finally acquired.

3. If the Government is satisfied that the said land is needed for the aforesaid purpose, a declaration to that effect under section 6 of the said Act will be published in the Official Gazette and in two daily newspapers and public notice thereof shall be given in due course. If the acquisition is abandoned wholly or in part, the fact will also be notified in the same manner.

4. The Government further appoints, under clause (c) of section 3 of the said Act, the Dy. Collector/SDO, Mormugao to perform the functions of a Collector under the said Act in respect of the said land.

5. The Government also authorises under sub-section (2) of section 4 of the said Act, the following Officers to do the Acts, specified therein in respect of the said land.

1. The Collector, South Goa District, Margao.
2. Dy. Collector/SDO, Mormugao.
3. Executive Engineer, Div. VI (R-S), PWD, Fatorda, Margao.
4. Director of Settlement & Land Records, Panaji.

6. All rough plan of the said land is available for inspection in the Office of the Dy. Collector/SDO, Mormugao for a period of 30 days from the date of publication of this Notification in the Official Gazette.

Taluka: Mormugao

Village: Arossim

Survey No./ Sub-Div. No.	Names of the persons believed to be interested	Appx. area in sq. mts.
1	2	3
119/1part	O: Walfrido Antao T: Carlota D'Silva	440
120/4	" O: Mrs. Julia Pereira A. D'Souza	350
120/5	" O: Jose Peo Pereira T: Carlota D'Silva	200
120/7	O: Antonio de Costa Martins T: Carlota D'Silva Niclau Fernandes Thomas Lodeving Fernandes Filiza Pereira	270
120/8	" O: Comunidade of Arossim T: Lawtenca Fernandes	6
120/9	" O: Antonio de Costa Martins T: Lawtenca Fernandes	85
121/1	" O: Comunidade of Arossim, Niclau Fernandes T: Lawanco Fernandes Thomas Lodovia Fernandes	75
121/2	" O: Comunidade of Arossim T: Thomas Lodovia Fernandes Feliza Pereira, Lawtance Fernandes, Niclau Fernandes	65
121/3	" O: Comunidade of Arossim T: Feliza Pereira Lawrence Fernandes Thomas Lodovia Fernandes	65
121/4	" O: Comunidade of Arossim T: Lawtenca Fernandes Thomas Lodovig Fernandes	75
121/5	" O: Comunidade of Arossim T: Lawtanca Fernandes Lawrenca Fernandes	200
125/6	" O: Antonio de Costa Martins T: Carlota D'Silva	3200
125/8	" O: Rosario D'Silva T: Lawtance Fernandes Thomas Lodovig Fernandes	375
125/10	" O: Pedro Thomas Gomes T: Thomas Lodovig Fernandes	400
		Total:..... 5806

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

D. M. Borkar, Under Secretary (Revenue)

Panaji, 27th April, 2000.

Notification

No. 22/32/2000-RD

Whereas it appears to the Government of Goa (hereinafter referred to as "the Government") that the land specified in the schedule hereto (hereinafter referred to as the "said land") is likely to be needed for public purpose, viz. for construction of road at Nauxim in Bambolim village at St. Andre Consituency.

And whereas in the opinion of the Government the provisions of sub-section (1) of section 17 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 (Central Act 1 of 1894) (hereinafter referred to as "the said Act") are applicable.

Now, therefore, the Government hereby notifies under sub-section (1) of section 4 of the said Act, that the said land is likely to be needed for the purpose specified above.

The Government further directs under sub-section (4) of section 17 of the said Act that the provisions of section 5A of the said Act shall not apply in respect of the said land.

2. All persons interested in the said land are hereby warned not to obstruct or interfere with any surveyor or other persons employed upon the said land for the purpose of the said acquisition. Any contract for the disposal of the said land by sale, lease, mortgage, assignment, exchange or otherwise or any outlay commenced or improvements made thereon without the sanction of the Collector appointed under paragraph 4 below, after the date of the publication of this Notification, will under clause (seventh) of section 24 of the said Act be disregarded by him while assessing compensation for such parts of the said land as may be finally acquired.

3. If the Government is satisfied that the said land is needed for the aforesaid purpose, a declaration to that effect under section 6 of the said Act will be published in the Official Gazette and in two daily newspapers and public notice thereof shall be given in due course. If the acquisition is abandoned wholly or in part the fact will also be notified in the same manner.

4. The Government further appoints under clause (c) of section 3 of the said Act, the Land Acquisition Officer, P.W.D. (Cell), Altinho, Panaji to perform the functions of

a Collector, North Goa District, Panaji under the said Act in respect of the said land.

5. The Government also authorises under sub-section (2) of section 4 of the said Act, the following officers to do the acts specified therein in respect of the said land.

1. The Collector, North Goa District, Panaji.
2. Land Acquisition Officer, P.W.D. (Cell), Altinho, Panaji.
3. Executive Engineer, Div. II (R), P.W.D., Panaji.
4. Director of Settlement & Land Records, Panaji.

6. A rough plan of the said land is available for inspection in the office of the Land Acquisition Officer, P.W.D. (Cell), Altinho, Panaji for a period of 30 days from the date of publication of this Notification in the Official Gazette.

SCHEDULE

(Description of the said land)

Taluka: Tiswadi		Village: Bambolim	
Survey No. P. T. Sheet No.	Sub. Div. No. Chalta No.	Names of the persons believed to be interested	Approx. area in Sq. mts.
1	2	3	4
22 1PT		Dilip Kumar R. Prabhu Mahambre	1750
23 PT		Domingo F. Dias.	210
24 PT	1.	Bhagirathibai Kāmat Haldankar	1800
	2.	Priagabai Kamat Haldankar	
	3.	Constantino Rodrigues	
	4.	Tilitiano D 'Souza & Alexio Carlos D'Souza	
29 PT	O:	Domingo F. Dias	1050
Total:-			4810

By order and in the name of the Governor of Goa.

D. M. Borkar, Under Secretary (Revenue).

Panaji, 3rd May, 2000.